

Publishing data on the Web

Bernadette Farias Lóscio and Caroline Burle

nichr







nicbr egibr



Núcleo de Informação e Coordenação do Ponto BR

Internet no Brasil



Topics to be discussed

- Data on the Web
- Linked Data
- Open Data
- Big Data, Open Data and Data on the Web

սսսսս

- Data on the Web lifecycle
- DWBP: Challenges and Benefits
- Questions and comments

Data on the Web



սսսսս

Web of Linked Documents \rightarrow Web of Linked Data

The Web is evolving from a "Web of linked documents" into a "Web of linked data"... (1/2)



սսսոս

ceweb.br nic.br cgi.br

OPEN DATA SUPPORT

What is Open Data?

Characteristics:

Availability and access

Reuse and Redistribution

Universal Participation



սսսոս

ceweb.br nic.br cgi.br

Source: http://ceweb.br/publicacao/open-data-guideline/

De Olho nas Metas



սսսոս

ceweb.br nic.br cgi.br

Source: http://deolhonasmetas.org.br

De Olho nas Metas

| eolhonasmetas.org.br/ | 'open-data | | C C | Q. Pesquisar | ☆ 🖻 🛡 🖡 🎓 😑 🗏 |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|--|---------------|
| 🔯 Mais visitados 👻 🛞 Primeiros | passos 🛞 The False Choice 🥳 Op | en Knowledge: 🛞 EPP0015 💧 | Ceweb.br-Prod 🎯 Urbe 🌒 public-dwbp | o-wg@ 🚺 GPP lab Laborató 😌 XXX | DadosAberto |
| | | м | ETAS DISTRITOS ORGANIZAÇÕES E | EMPRESAS DADOS ABERTOS ENTRAR | |
| | 🛱 Baixar arquivo | os | | | |
| | Tabelas | | | Formato | |
| | Subprefeituras | | | - CSV - | |
| | Links | | | | |
| | Arquivo das tabelas | | | | |
| | http://www.deolhonasmetas.org.br/aj | ol/download/subprefeitura.csv | | EFETUAR DOWNLOAD DAS TABELAS | |
| | Informações contidas nos | arquivos | | | |
| | Campos dos arquivos | | | | |
| | META | OBJETIVO | PROJETO | EMPRESA | |
| | ID da meta Nome Descrição Orçamento esperado Número da meta Data de atualização Data esperada para início Data esperada para termino Será entregue Transversalidade | ID do objetivo Nome Data de atualização | ID do projeto Nome Iatitude Iongitude Número do projeto Progresso Qualitativo 1 Progresso Qualitativo 2 Progresso Qualitativo 3 Progresso Qualitativo 4 Progresso Qualitativo 5 | • ID da empresa • Nome • Url do nome | |

սսսսս

ceweb.br nic.br cgi.br

Source: http://deolhonasmetas.org.br/open-data

5-Star Open Data

Tim Berners-Lee, the inventor of the Web and Linked Data initiator, suggested a 5-star deployment scheme for Open Data. Here, we give examples for each step of the stars and explain costs and benefits that come along with it.



սոսո

ceweb.br nic.br cgi.br

Source: http://5stardata.info/



How to make data available?

Which data to publish?

How to make data interoperable?

Which are the data sources? Publishing data on the Web

How to identify data resources?

cewebbr nicbr cgibr

Which data formats to use? How to gather feedback?

Publishing data on the Web is more than just publishing!

սսսոս



սսսսս



սսսսս







սոսու



սսսսս



սսսսս

Players of the data on the Web ecosystem

Several types of data sources (transactional systems, sensors, mobile devices, documents...)

Data publisher: / publishes and shares data

Data consumer: reuses the data and might generate new data



Source: http://ceweb.br/livros/dados-abertos-conectados/capitulo-1/

cewebbr nicbr cgibr

How to enable the data reuse?

How to enable the reuse of data?

A common understanding between data publishers and data consumers becomes fundamental. Without this agreement, data publishers' efforts may be incompatible with data consumers' desires.



WSC® Data on the Web Best Practices Working Group

The **Mission** of the Data on the Web Best Practices Working Group, part of the Data Activity, is:

- 1. to develop the **open data ecosystem**, <u>facilitating better communication</u> between developers and publishers;
- 2. to provide **guidance to publishers** that will improve consistency in the way data is managed, thus promoting the re-use of data;
- 3. to **foster trust in the data** among developers, whatever technology they choose to use, increasing the potential for genuine innovation.



Source: https://www.w3.org/2013/dwbp/wiki/Main_Page:

mm

Data on the Web Best Practices

W3C Editor's Draft 22 March 2016 Table of Contents

| This version: | 1. | |
|--|------|--|
| http://w3c.github.io/dwbp/bp.html | | |
| atest published version: | 2. | |
| http://www.w3.org/TR/dwbp/ | 3. | |
| atest editor's draft: | | |
| http://w3c.github.io/dwbp/bp.html | 4. | |
| Editors: | | |
| Bernadette Farias Lóscio, CIn - UFPE, Braz | | |
| Caroline Burle, NIC.br, Brazil | 6 | |
| Newton Calegari, NIC.br, Brazil | 0. | |
| Authors: | 7. | |
| Annette Greiner | 7.1 | |
| Antoine Isaac | 7.2 | |
| Carlos Iglesias | 73 | |
| Carlos Laufer | 74 | |
| Christophe Guéret | 7.5 | |
| Eric G. Stephan | 7.6 | |
| Eric Kauz | 7.0 | |
| Ghislain A. Atemezing | 7.7 | |
| Ig Ibert Bittencourt | 7.8 | |
| João Paulo Almeida | 7.9 | |
| Manuel Tomas Carrasco | 7.10 | |
| Phil Archer | 7.11 | |
| Riccardo Albertoni | 7.12 | |
| | 7.13 | |
| | 7.14 | |

Introduction Audience

Context

Best Practices Template

Best Practices Summary

BP are designed to meet the needs of information management staff, developers, and wider groups such as scientists interested in sharing and reusing research data on the Web

cewebbr nicbr cgibr



սսսսս

Source: http://w3c.github.io/dwbp/bp.html

Data on the Web Use cases & Requirements

սսսոս

| Us | 2.1 ASO: Airborne Snow Observatory 2.2 BBC 2.3 Bio2RDF | |
|----|--|----------|
| | 2.4 BuildingEye: SME use of public data | |
| | 2.5 Dados.gov.br | |
| | 2.6 Digital archiving of Linked Data | |
| | 28 GS1 Digital | |
| | 2.9 ISO GEO Story | |
| | 2.10 The Land Portal | |
| | 2.11 LA Times' Reporting of Ron Galperin's Infographic | |
| | 2.12 LusTRE: Linked Thesaurus fRamework for Environment | |
| | 2.13 Machine-readability and Interoperability of Licenses | \leq |
| | 2.14 Mass Spectrometry Imaging (MSI) | |
| | 2.15 OKEN Transport WG 2.16 Open City Data Pipeline | |
| | 2.17 Open Experimental Field Studies | |
| | 2.18 Resource Discovery for Extreme Scale Collaboration (RDE | SC) |
| | 2.19 Recife Open Data Portal | 221 |
| | 2.20 Retrato da Violência (Violence Map) | |
| | 2.21 Share-PSI 2.0: Uses of Open Data Within Government for | nnovatio |
| | 2.22 Tabulae - how to get value out of data | |
| | 2.23 UK Open Research Data Forum | |
| | 2.24 Uruguay Open Data Catalog | |
| | 2 26 Wind Characterization Scientific Study | J |
| | Sector thind on a ratio of a rati | ource. |
| | | |

2.1



scenarios of how data is commonly published on the Web and how it is used

cover different domains and illustrate some of the main challenges faced by data publishers and data consumers

Source: https://www.w3.org/TR/dwbp-ucr/

Data on the Web Challenges

- Metadata (for humans & machines)
- Data Licenses (how to permite & restrict access?)
- Data Provenance & Quality (how to add trust?)
- Data Versioning (*tracking dataset versions*)
- Data Identifiers (identifying datasets and distributions)
- Data Formats (which data formats to use?)

The openness and flexibility of the Web creates new challenges for data publishers and data consumers

Data on the Web Challenges

Data Vocabularies (how to promote interoperability?)

mmm

- Sensitive Data (*Privacy & Security*)
- Data Access (access options)
- Feedback (how to engage users?)
- Data Enrichment (adding value to data)

Best Practice 1: Provide metadata

Best Practice 2: Provide descriptive metadata

Best Practice 3: Provide locale parameters metadata

Best Practice 4: Provide structural metadata

Best Practice 5: Provide data license information

Best Practice 6: Provide data provenance information

Best Practice 7: Provide data quality information

Best Practice 8: Provide a version indicator

Best Practice 9: Provide version history

Best Practice 10: Use persistent URIs as identifiers of datasets

Best Practice 11: Use persistent URIs as identifiers within datasets

Best Practice 12: Assign URIs to dataset versions and series

Best Practice 13: Use machine-readable standardized data formats

Best Practice 14: Provide data in multiple formats

Best Practice 15: Use standardized terms

Best Practice 16: Reuse vocabularies

Best Practice 17: Choose the right formalization level

Best Practice 18: Provide data unavailability reference

Best Practice 19: Provide bulk download

Best Practice 20: Provide Subsets for Large Datasets

Best Practice 21: Use content negotiation for serving data available in multiple formats

Best Practice 22: Provide real-time access

Evidence

B

В

Belevant requirements: R-ProvAvailable, R-MetadataAvailable

Best Practice 26: Provide complete documentation for your API

Best Practice 27: Avoid Breaking Changes to Your API

Best Practice 28: Assess dataset coverage

Best Practice 29: Use a trusted serialisation format for preserved data dumps

Best Practice 30: Update the status of identifiers

Best Practice 31: Gather feedback from data consumers

Best Practice 32: Make feedback available Best Practice 33: Enrich data by generating new data Best Practice 34: Provide Complementary Presentations

Best Practice 35: Provide Feedback to the Original Publisher

սսսոս

Best Practice 36: Follow Licensing Terms

Best Practice 37: Cite the Original Publication

Data on the Web Best Practices

DWBP Benefits

Each benefit represents an improvement in the way how datasets are available on the Web



Reuse

- BP: Provide data license information
- BP: Provide versioning information
- BP: Provide version history
- BP: Use non-proprietary data formats
- BP: Provide data in multiple formats
- BP: Use a trusted serialization format for preserved data dumps
- BP: Enrich data by generating new metadata
- BP: Provide data provenance information
- BP: Provide data quality information
- BP: Use persistent URIs as identifiers

Discoverability

- BP: Provide descriptive metadata
- BP: Use persistent URIs as identifiers
- BP: Assign URIs to dataset versions and series

Trustworthy

- BP: Assess dataset coverage BP: Assign URIs to dataset versions and series BP: Provide data up to date
- BP: Update the status of identifiers
- BP: Gather feedback from data consumers
- BP: Provide information about feedback
- BP: Provide data provenance information
- BP: Provide data quality information

Linkability

BP: Use persistent URIs as identifiers BP: Assign URIs to dataset versions and series

Processibility

սսսո

BP: Use machine-readable standardized data formats BP: Enrich data by generating new metadata

Comprehension

BP: Provide metadata BP: Provide locale parameters metadata BP: Provide structural metadata BP: Provide descriptive metadata

Accessibility

BP: Provide bulk download BP: Follow REST principles when designing APIs BP: Provide real-time access BP: Maintain separate versions for a data API BP: Assess dataset coverage

Interoperability

cewebbr nicbr cgibr

BP: Use standardized terms BP: Re-use vocabularies Metadata must be provided for both human users and computer applications

Why

Providing metadata is a fundamental realishers and data consumers may be unk that helps human users and computer a aspects that describes a dataset or a discribes a dataset or a discriber and the second se

Intended Outcome

Human-readable metadata will enable h metadata will enable computer applicati

Possible Approach to Implementation

Possible approaches to provide human

- to provide metadata as part of an H
- to provide metadata as a separate

Possible approaches to provide machin

 machine readable metadata may be it can be embedded in the HTML pa published separately, they should be nance of multiple formats is best ac a single source of the metadata.

when defining machine readable m
ies are strongly recommended. For example, and the strong of t

BP Benefits

- Comprehension: humans will have a better understanding about the data structure, the data meaning, the metadata and the nature of the dataset.
- Processability: machines will be able to automatically process and manipulate the data within a dataset.
- Discoverability machines will be able to automatically discover a dataset or data within a dataset.
- Reuse: the chances of dataset reuse by different groups of data consumers will increase.

ies are strongly recommended. For example, Dublin Core Metadata (DCMI) terms [DC-TERMS] and Data Catalog Vocabulary [VOCAB-DCAT] should be used to provide descriptive metadata.

Best Practice 10: Use persistent URIs as identifiers of datasets

Datasets must be identified by a persistent URI.

Why

Adopting a common identification system by any stakeholder in a reliable way. The and reuse.

Developers may build URIs into their coordereference to the same resource over t

Intended Outcome

Datasets or information about datasets v status, availability or format of the data.

Possible Approach to Implementatior

To be persistent, URIs must be designed creating a Web site designed for human topic, see, for example, the European Co to many other resources.

Where a data publisher is unable or unw native approach is to use a redirection s These provide persistent URIs that can I ephemeral. The <u>software behind such se</u> aged locally if required.

BP Benefits

- Linkability: it will be possible to create links between data resources (datasets and data items).
- Interoperability: it will be easier to reach consensus among data publishers and consumers.
 - Discoverability machines will be able to automatically discover a dataset or data within a dataset.
 - Reuse: the chances of dataset reuse by different groups of data consumers will increase.

Digital Object Identifiers (DOIs) offer a similar alternative. These identifiers are defined independently of any Web technology but can be appended to a 'URI stub.' DOIs are an important part of the digital infrastructure for research data and and libraries.



ReSpec

Data on the Web Best Practices

- 1. They are still being developed
- 2. Publication of the next draft as Candidate Recommendation April 2016
- 3. Publication as recommendation July 2016
- 4. Feedback is welcome! :)

https://www.w3.org/TR/dwbp/

Obrigada! www.ceweb.br

© cburle@nic.br
© arolburle
© bfl@cin.ufpe.br
© bfl@cin.ufpe.br

April 12th, 2016

nicbr Cgibr www.nic.br | www.cgi.br